



1201 Third Avenue  
Suite 4900  
Seattle, WA 98101-3099

T +1.206.359.8000  
F +1.206.359.9000  
PerkinsCoie.com

April 12, 2019

Jerry A. Riedinger  
JRiedinger@perkinscoie.com  
D. +1.206.359.8664  
F. +1.206.359.9664

**VIA ECF**

Hon. James L. Robart  
United States District Court  
Western District of Washington  
700 Stewart Street, Suite 2310  
Seattle, WA 98101

**Re: *Bombardier Inc. v. Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation et al.*, No. 2:18-cv-01543-JLR**

Dear Judge Robart,

We represent defendants Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation (“MITAC”) and Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation America Inc. (“MITAC America”). This letter responds to your April 10, 2019 Order (Dkt. 130) seeking the parties’ position on Mr. Ayre and Mr. Delarche’s request for additional time to respond to Bombardier’s Motion for a Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. 123).

MITAC and MITAC America support the request. Mr. Ayre and Mr. Delarche are employees of MITAC, and we believe our employees should have the best opportunity to present their defense by having sufficient time to fully prepare their responses. Bombardier’s new motion also contains a vicarious liability discussion, so we believe the Noting date should be extended to allow sufficient time for MITAC to work with the attorneys for Messrs. Ayre and Delarche and complete preparation of MITAC’s response to the motion.

Finally, we believe that the most efficient schedule will allow resolution of the various motions to dismiss before the two pending preliminary injunction motions are decided. Four motions to dismiss are pending (Dkt. Nos. 54, 56, 106 and 126), and we understand that either or both of Messrs. Ayre and Delarche will file another. We therefore suggest that Bombardier’s second preliminary injunction motion be re-noted to a date after the Noting date for Mr. Ayre or Mr. Delarche’s expected motion (*i.e.*, after May 31, 2019).

Very truly yours,

Jerry A. Riedinger

cc: All counsel of record (via ECF)